

CLARIN perspective

Daan Broeder, CLARIN

Slido.com
Enter code:
unconferece



Q&A and panel





CLARIN RDA involvement

Daan Broeder – CLARIN ERIC

Data together unconference session, EOSC Symposium

Madrid, Sept 2023



Introducing CLARIN



- CLARIN is an ERIC since 2012
- Distributed infrastructure, coordinating and working with the long term national CLARIN projects that maintain CLARIN centers
- B, C centers providing metadata and resources
- Knowledge centers providing expertise
- Technical infrastructure aimed at coherent discovery and access of resources Virtual Language Observatory, but also data management tools CLARIN LR Switchboard, Virtual Collection Registry
- Collaboration with other SSH infrastructures: DARIAH, CESSDA (SSHOC) and wider in EOSC projects

CLARIN

RDA Background

- CLARIN has been involved in RDA activities from the start, also inspired by strong CLARIN affiliated people and organisations
- More general data management topics:
 - PIDs, DTR, Certification, FIM, DataFabric, Dynamic Citations, ...
- compared to thematic ones:
 - <u>Linguistics Data IG</u>, outcome: <u>recommendations for citation of research data in linguistics</u>
- CLARIN RDA interests are mainly linked to core business publishing, discovery and facilitating access to language data
- Note there is no overall coordination wrt. RDA participation, but
 - RDA participation often based on personal interest
 - For many CLARIN is just one of the collaborations & projects they are involved in
- For CLARIN, funded projects and the related expert discussions, have to take priority wrt involvement. Lack of people!

CLARIN

Perception of RDA within CLARIN

- RDA awareness by management, developers, researchers
 - Except by management awareness seems limited, also (hearsay) surveying ESFRIs, RDA does not play a big role
 - RDA doesn't appear often in discussions, some interesting discussions moved elsewhere eg. DataFabric & FDO
- Usefulness as a discussion & networking platform
 - This is currently rated as the most valuable aspects of RDA, a free and open global platform, to discuss technical and non-technical aspects of data infrastructure without direct project/funding repercussions
 - Including the networking
 - Some group events seem only specific project/policy promotion, but is this bad?
 - But not always clear what is going on, what are new activities etc. You have to be already involved to know
- RDA direct outcomes
 - Lack of direction and progress, overlapping activities
 - Difficult to quantify output and alignment
- Other fora, eg. national and project collaborations such as the SSH SSHOC collaboration are more visible and get more attention

Improve RI involvement, some ideas

Some communities and infra's seem more involved (BioMed, OpenAIRE,..): from a need or opportunity, their activities in RDA seem more supported and coordinated, no need for action

But how could RIs as CLARIN profit and contribute more

- In general there is a lack of expert staff to participate in all projects and discussions. Too many similar events, and we have to prioritize for funded projects and other
 - RDA to have synergy with topics in other but funded projects EC, thematic clusters
 - Anchoring explicit RDA involvement plans in project proposals ie. have a RDAP next to a DMP
- RDA as a standardisation instrument is maybe not insufficiently exploited, but compared with eg. ISO it misses some things as an administrative/editorial infrastructure, but that is also a different business model
- Note there is a contradiction in the mentioned criticisms:
 - perceived lack of direction and sluggishness could be amended at the cost of inclusivity ie. be more selective about topics old-ideal was for it to be like IETF
 - RDA to be solely considered as a network for (technical) information exchange, -> try to get rid of the project/organisational promotion, but that also can be perceived as a unnecessary barrier